

Mould in tunnel-grown raspberry – can it be predicted?



Ella Roper (B. Ag Sci honours student)

Karen Barry & Ross Corkrey Tasmanian Institute of Agriculture (TIA) University of Tasmania





TIA is a joint venture of the University of Tasmania and the Tasmanian Government

Problem: Unexpected spoilage due to mould on arrival after transport

Question:

- 1. What are the main mould pathogens?
- 2. Can "mould load" be predicted preharvest?
- 3. How does wetness, temperature and humidity vary in tunnels infection risk





Background

Grey mould (*Botrytis cinerea*) is typically the main problem

Infection usually starts with flowers and remains hidden until postharvest

Green fruit less susceptible to infection

Little known about infection pathways specifically for raspberry





Background – UK studies

Usually lower incidence in tunnels than open field-grown for raspberries (based on UK studies)

Floral infection still high in tunnels

No success in predicting floral infection based on temperature and humidity (amount of inoculum has a big impact)

Xu et al 2012.





Research trial







-6	-3	Harvest	+3	+6	+9
Briefly frozen	Briefly frozen	Briefly frozen			
Incubated at room temp	Incubated at room temp	Incubated at room temp	Incubated at 4 C	Incubated at 4 C	Incubated at 4 C
Assessed after 7 days	Assessed after 7 days	Assessed after 7 days	Assessed after 3 days	Assessed after 6 days	Assessed after 9 days



Trial design

4 sampling times (December, January, March, April)

4-5 rows per sampling time

576 fruit per row

(96 x 6 stages)

24 fruit per container

Commercial management of crop, including fungicide program



- Spring Floricanes branch, new primocanes grow.
- Summer Harvest main crop



Late summer autumn

Prune out floricanes, let primocanes develop.



							_																										
	1							% mould covering each berry																									
	2	Treatmen	Row	Containe	er Incidence		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24		Average sever	ity
	3	-6	B70R11	Α	58%	Total	20%	10%	1%	3%	2%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	5%	4%	2%	2%		2%)
+	8	-6	B70R11	В	67%	Total	80%	3%	3%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	10%	50%	3%	5%	10%		7%)
1+1	13	-6	B70R11	C	100%	Total	####	100%	####	#### :	####	90%	100%	80%	5%	70%	20%	####	100%	#### :	*### :	100%	100%	10%	90%	100%	####	90%	90%	100%		85%)
+	18	-6	B70R11	D	63%	Total	60%	0%	5%	60%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	3%	30%	0%	1%	0%	3%	20%	10%	3%	25%		10%)
1+1	23	-6	B70R12 (leg)	Α	71%	Total	50%	10%	0%	15%	3%	5%	0%	0%	20%	3%	0%	8%	5%	0%	0%	0%	40%	1%	1%	40%	50%	30%	60%	40%		16%)
+	28	-6	B70R12 (leg)	В	67%	Total	5%	0%	5%	3%	10%	0%	0%	- 5%	5%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	10%	15%	2%	3%	2%	90%	15%	10%	20%		9%)
1+1	33	-6	B70R12 (leg)	С	83%	Total	50%	5%	5%	5%	8%	0%	0%	10%	40%	25%	4%	2%	25%	20%	0%	3%	90%	4%	0%	10%	####	30%	70%	100%		25%)
+	38	-6	B70R12 (leg)	D	100%	Total	####	100%	90%	90%	####	100%	100%	90%	100%	90%	80%	####	100%	####	90%	80%	100%	####	####	70%	####	100%	100%	100%		95%)
+	43	-6	B71R35	Α	75%	Total	10%	5%	5%	10%	5%	10%	0%	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	8%	5%	0%	2%	2%	20%	10%	10%	100%		9%)
+	48	-6	B71R35	В	96%	Total	80%	30%	80%	####	20%	5%	5%	20%	10%	5%	5%	5%	8%	8%	8%	10%	15%	0%	8%	20%	80%	80%	70%	100%		32%)
+	53	-6	B71R35	С	79%	Total	20%	30%	10%	40%	5%	2%	1%	0%	2%	4%	0%	10%	40%	6%	4%	3%	10%	0%	0%	0%	50%	6%	2%	2%		10%	
+	58	-6	B71R35	D	50%	Total	50%	5%	5%	5%	5%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	80%	15%	8%	15%		8%)
1+1	63	-6	B72R80	Α	79%	Total	40%	3%	2%	4%	10%	0%	15%	0%	4%	2%	1%	2%	5%	0%	0%	0%	20%	1%	5%	15%	90%	20%	70%	10%		13%	•
																														(

	1						· · ·	% mould covering each berry																								
	2	Treatmen	Row	Container	r Incidence		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	Average sever	ity
	3	-6	B70R11	Α	58%	Total	20%	10%	1%	3%	2%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	5%	4%	2%	2%	2%	
Γ· Ι	4	-6	B70R11	Α	17%	Botrytis	10%	5%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	i
· ·	5	-6	B70R11	Α	50%	Cladospori	10%	5%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%	÷
· ·	6	-6	B70R11	Α	8%	Penicillium	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	
· ·	7	-6	B70R11	Α	0%	Other	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	÷
	8	-6	B70R11	В	67%	Total	80%	3%	3%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	10%	50%	3%	5%	10%	7%	
+	13	-6	B70R11	C	100%	Total	####	100%	####	####	####	90%	100%	80%	5%	70%	20%	####	100%	####	####	100%	100%	10%	90%	100%	####	90%	90%	100%	85%	i
+	18	-6	B70R11	D	63%	Total	60%	0%	5%	60%	5%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	3%	30%	0%	1%	0%	3%	20%	10%	3%	25%	10%	i
+	23	-6	B70R12 (leg)	Α	71%	Total	50%	10%	0%	15%	3%	5%	0%	0%	20%	3%	0%	8%	5%	0%	0%	0%	40%	1%	1%	40%	50%	30%	60%	40%	16%	
1+1	28	-6	B70R12 (leg)	В	67%	Total	5%	0%	- 5%	3%	10%	0%	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%	0%	10%	0%	0%	10%	15%	2%	3%	2%	90%	15%	10%	20%	9%	
+	33	-6	B70R12 (leg)	С	83%	Total	50%	5%	5%	5%	8%	0%	0%	10%	40%	25%	4%	2%	25%	20%	0%	3%	90%	4%	0%	10%	####	30%	70%	100%	25%	6
+	38	-6	B70R12 (leg)	D	100%	Total	####	100%	90%	90%	####	100%	100%	90%	100%	90%	80%	####	100%	####	90%	80%	100%	#### :	****	70%	####	100%	100%	100%	95%	6
+	43	-6	B71R35	Α	75%	Total	10%	5%	5%	10%	5%	10%	0%	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	8%	5%	0%	2%	2%	20%	10%	10%	100%	9%	
+	48	-6	B71R35	В	96%	Total	80%	30%	80%	####	20%	5%	5%	20%	10%	5%	5%	5%	8%	8%	8%	10%	15%	0%	8%	20%	80%	80%	70%	100%	32%	



Main moulds found



Detection in harvest age, incubated fruit (IxS)

	Dec-16	Jan-17	Mar-17	Apr-17
Botrytis	4.9	23.2	12.1	10.9
Cladosporium	0.9	2.8	2.4	1.5
Penicillium	0.8	1.1	2.2	0.5







0 & +16



0 and +16





Average percentage of total rot at harvest (0)



■ B70 R11 ■ B70 R12 ■ B71 R35 ■ B72 R80



Tunnel microclimate



Data loggers





Averages 2 weeks prior to harvest

JAN	Av Temp	Av RH	LW	# days av >18 C and >80% RH
B70R11	18.8 °C			
B70R12	18.0 °C	84.18		4
B71R35	18.6 °C	86.22		9
B72R80	18.7 °C			

MARCH	Temp	RH	LW	# days av >18 C and >80% RH
B70R11	16.7 °C		63.4 %	
B70R12	16.2 °C	84.81	69.9 %	4
B71R35	16.4 °C	82.07	70.7 %	4
B72R80	16.5 °C		65.9 %	

Tunnel microclimate

Cane density – grow through primocane density (March)

Average canes/m





Conclusions

The major pathogen is Botrytis cinerea

With the method used, pre-harvest mould load probably can not predict postharvest mould

- try again with longer freezing method
- data analysis still underway

Weather data and other tunnel conditions will be further analysed to determine links to mould

On-going studies



Acknowledgements

Kaylia Marshall, Cameron Folder, David Bardon, Emma Nightingale (Costa Group)

Costa Honours Scholarship

School of Land and Food

Michelle Buntain (TIA)

Morag Glen (TIA)



